

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,063		06/06/2001	Warren M. Farnworth	4245US (98-0288)	7843
24247	7590	10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
TRASK B			RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
	P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3723	V
				DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\wedge$ K				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/875,063	FARNWORTH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		M Rachuba	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	August 2003 .					
2a)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3)							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-31,37-65 and 71-7</u>	5 is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-36 and 66-70</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 March 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/875,063 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group II in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 1-31, 37-65 and 71-75 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 5.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 32, 33, 35, 36, 66, 67, 69 and 70 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wark et al, 5,809,987. Note figures 3 and 5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/875,063

Art Unit: 3723

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. Claims 34 and 68 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Wark et al '987 in view of Ishiwata et al, '023. '987 do not disclose the method of sawing the devices from the substrate at substantially the same time. '023, in a similar method, teaches sawing the devices from the substrate at substantially the same time. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have provided '987 with the method step of sawing the devices at substantially the same time, as taught by '023, to perform dicing at an increased efficiency. See the abstract.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-36 and 66-70 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's amendment has overcome the rejections under 102(e) over Ishiwata et al or Farnsworth. It is the examiner's position that Wark et al '987 clearly anticipates applicant's claimed invention, or when combined with Ishiwata et al, renders the claimed invention obvious, as set forth above. Applicant argues that Ishiwata et al does not disclose a "chuck", but at best a table. The examiner disagrees. Ishiwata et al does disclose a "chuck table" for "holding". The function of holding implies more than just a setting of a workpiece on a support, but that the workpiece is held against movement. It is the examiner's position that Ishiwata et al does disclose a chuck.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3723

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. Application/Control Number: 09/875,063

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723

mtr October 6, 2003

